

College Planning Council				
Minutes for Friday April 28 th , 2017 10:00am-12:00pm Cravens Multipurpose Room		Cravens Multipurpose Room		
Members Present:	Johnson, John Learn (alt. for Brian Koeni Karen Tabor, Amano Sheri Willis, Joseph	ned, Carl Farmer, Sara But g), Robert Holmes, Lauro da Phillips, Mary Anne Gu Aguirre, Nick Meade, Mar Lisa Howell, Annebelle Ne	avid George, Rick Rawnsley, Andrew ler (alt. for Linda Emerson), Arlette Polard Jimenez, Liliana Casas, John Ramont, larte, Pamela Ralston, Daniel Martinez, ry Lou Marrujo, Courtney Doussett, Sarah ery, Pam Hunter, Katie Chartier, Arturo	
Members not Present:	Carlos Maldonado, Maria Jasso, Maria Herrera, Denise Diamond,			
Guest(s):	Jeff Larson, Bert Bitanga			
Recorder:	Sai Vang			

AGENDA

1. Call to Order/Roll Call					
2. ACTION ITEMS					
2.1 Approval of April 7, 2	017 Minutes				
DISCUSSION	None.				
CONCLUSION	Minutes approved as presented.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE DEADLINE				
None.					
2.2 Chairs Council Committee Description – 2nd Reading					
DISCUSSION	Lauro Jimenez motioned to approve Chairs Council Committee Description – 2nd Reading, seconded.				
	The Chairs Council's committee of	harter is more board than	the current scope of the		
	committee. The updated charter the membership.	committee. The updated charter includes the Strong Workforce Steering Committee to			
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE DEADLINE				
None.	one.				
2.3 Transfer Advisory Cor	nmittee Description – 2nd Reading				
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Transfer Advisory Committee Description – 2nd Reading, seconded. The charter is updated with corrections to titles, and additional faculty representation including counselors.				
	8				
CONCLUSION	Motion Carries.				
CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.			DEADLINE		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.	Motion Carries.	2nd Reading rove Administrative Proce ed.	dure 5012 International		

CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.5 Administrative Proced	ure 5015 Residency Determination	n – 2nd Reading	
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to app Determination – 2nd Reading, se The updated changes in the AP re regulations. The changes were ve	conded. eflects changes determine	d by Ed Code and Title V
	Enrollment Services, and CCLC.		
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.6 Administrative Proced	ure 5035 Withholding of Student I	Records – 2nd Reading	
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5035 Withholding of Student Records – 2nd Reading, seconded. There are no changes to AP 5035. This AP is part of the review cycle.		
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.7 Administrative Proced	ure 5045 Student Records: Challer	iging Content and Access	Log – 2nd Reading
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5045 Student Records: Challenging Content and Access Log – 2nd Reading, seconded. There are minor changes to formatting. This AP is part of the review cycle.		
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.8 Administrative Proced	ure 5052 Open Enrollment – 2nd F	Reading	
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5052 Open Enrollment – 2nd Reading, seconded. Changes made to correct titles. This AP is part of the review cycle.		
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
	ure 5120 Transfer Center – 2nd Re	ading	
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5120 Transfer Center – 2nd Reading, seconded. The only change is the sentence in red which states that the transfer center plan complies with Title V requirements.		
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
	dure 5130 Financial Aid – 2nd Rea	ding	
		<u>ө</u>	

DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nerv motioned	to approve Administrative Proce	edure 5130 Financial Aid –		
	2nd Reading, seconded.				
	Administrative Procedures	Administrative Procedures does not have details for students regarding application,			
	payment, and Satisfactory	Academic Progress. Hyperlinks	are lists to point viewers to		
	the latest details.				
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.					
2.11 Administrative Pro	ocedure 5400 Associated Stude	ents Organization – 2nd Reading			
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned	to approve Administrative Proce	edure 5400 Associated		
	-	Students Organization – 2nd Reading, seconded.			
	Minor change to titles. Thi	Minor change to titles. This review is part of the annual cycle.			
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.					
2.12 End-User Data Sub	committee Recommendation:	Institutional Set Standards			
DISCUSSION	Pamela Balston motioned	to approve End-User Data Subco	ommittee Recommendation:		
	Institutional Set Standards				
		,			
	The End-Users Data Subco	mmittee reviewed and establish	the institutional set		
	standards.				
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.	ocommittee Recommendation:	PERSON RESPONSIBLE Institutional Effectiveness Part			
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub		Institutional Effectiveness Part	nership Initiative (IEPI)		
None.	Nick Meade motioned to a		nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation:		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a	Institutional Effectiveness Part	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation:		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness	Institutional Effectiveness Part	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded.		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators.		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators.		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators.		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators.		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate.	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo bal was established, and is agree	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate.	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub	 Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate. CPC asked for a three year 	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo bal was established, and is agree	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION	 Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance and outcome The student performance and second second	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), seco mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indic and outcomes goals was develo bal was established, and is agree	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. s and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION CONCLUSION	 Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance and outcome The student performance and second second	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), second mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indice and outcomes goals was develop bal was established, and is agree	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. a and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math of upon by the Academic t performance and outcomes		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.	 Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance and outcome The student performance and second second	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), second mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indice and outcomes goals was develop bal was established, and is agree	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. a and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math of upon by the Academic t performance and outcomes		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None. 2.14 APO Recommenda	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate. CPC asked for a three year goals. Motion carries.	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), second mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indice and outcomes goals was develop bal was established, and is agree window to evaluate the studen PERSON RESPONSIBLE	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. a and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic t performance and outcomes DEADLINE		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate. CPC asked for a three year goals. Motion carries.	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), second mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indice and outcomes goals was develop oal was established, and is agree window to evaluate the studen PERSON RESPONSIBLE	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. a and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic t performance and outcomes DEADLINE		
None. 2.13 End-User Data Sub DISCUSSION CONCLUSSION CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None. 2.14 APO Recommenda	Nick Meade motioned to a Institutional Effectiveness The End-Users Data Subco IEPI goals for COD to adop programmatic compliance performance and outcome The student performance department. A five-year go Senate. CPC asked for a three year goals. Motion carries.	Institutional Effectiveness Part approve End-User Data Subcomr Partnership Initiative (IEPI), second mmittee reviewed the IEPI goals t as a framework to address fun with state and federal guideline es, and accreditation status indice and outcomes goals was develop oal was established, and is agree window to evaluate the studen PERSON RESPONSIBLE	nership Initiative (IEPI) nittee Recommendation: onded. a and the four recommended d balance, district es indicators, student cators. ped with the math ed upon by the Academic t performance and outcomes DEADLINE		

	development plan. There are sections of the PIE Handbook to create, in order to address the professional development plan. Currently, requests for professional development are in the PRUs. There isn't a group to submit the requests.		
	APO reviewed all recommendations, and determined that professional development has made no progress. The follow-up on professional development was discovered while working on accreditation. Standard IIIA requires a broad professional		
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to application of the provided of the	IOVE APO RECOMMENDATIO	on. Professional
	n: Professional Development	NOVO ADO Pacammandatia	n: Drofossional
None.			
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
	 plan exist. APO recommended CPC to create a workgroup to develop the Staffing Plan after the completion of the Educational Master Plan and Facilities Master Plan. The Vice President of HR should chair the workgroup and the following: The job description of the VP of Human Resources and Employee Relations states that the position assesses staffing levels and develops a district staffing plan in alignment with the District strategic plan. The workgroup should be representative of the constituents (faculty, staff, and leadership) 		
DISCUSSION	Lauro Jimenez motioned to approve APO Recommendation: Staffing Plan, seconded. Through APO reviewed all college plans. The committee discovered that no staffing plan		
2.15 APO Recommendatio	-		
None.			
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
	Mary Anne Gularte shared that the EEO Plan was due to the Chancellor's Office in June 2016. COD did not submit and therefore did not receive EEO funding. The Chancellor's Office is changing their funding formula that is not tied to the plan. Regarding the development of the plan, the plan is prescribed and is nearly complete. The workgroup will review the plan.		
	 leadership). In the revision, consider incorporating the language consistent with title V, sections 53003, which requires the college to develop and adopt a written district EEO plan. 		
	the workgroup.In the past the EEO plan viewled by the human resource	was under the original Div ces leadership.	
	 APO reviewed all college plans. In process of finishing the evaluation of the Enrollment Management Plan. Through the review of plans, the committee discovered missing plans. APO recommended CPC to create a workgroup to develop the EEO Plan and the following: The Vice President of Human Resources and Employee Relations should chair the workgroup 		

	states that the position d programs including: leade efforts to professionally o	Resources and Employee Resources and Employee Resigns, and implements preship and staff training develop internal capacity, affectiveness in alignment wappy to know APO would at is one of her passions. Shows appreciation and is excite the college.	elations should chair the and Employee Relations rofessional development esigns, long and short-term as well as, to facilitate and with District strategic plan make this recommendation ne looks forward to ed to provide opportunities dation. He looks forward to sified staff.
	PACE is COD's professional develor important not to forget PACE and		-
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.17 APO Recommendatio	n: Enrollment Management Plan F	Recommendations & Eval	uation
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to appr Plan Recommendations & Evalua		n: Enrollment Management
	APO recently reviewed the planni Enrollment Management Plan 20 strategies that were set up as goa marked green are completed, but could appear at a later time. The recommendations are to:	13. It took the committee als. There are goals which	a while because there were have been completed. Goals
	 Reduce the number of go Originally the EM (there are 10). Strategies appear Remove Strategies that w programs and services"). Review the updated EMP the strategies of the EMP 	P Dashboard report, which P goals, and consider the fo	ent targets or strategies 94 strategies. Continue to support evaluated the progress of

		progress (yellow) or at no a much shorter list in supp	progress (red)-review and port of the revised/new
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.18 Educational Master	Plan – 1st Reading		
DISCUSSION	 academic and support set Goal 2: Instructional Proginnovative academic pro Goal 3: Student and Suppand effective college; the Goal 4: Community Partripartners and the commution of the communication platform Goal 6: Integrated Plann 	full analysis about enrollmening sessions, four survey igh school partners at the or market data with inform cation for the valley. The construction ign and Delivery – addresses ervices to succeed. gram Content – addresses gram design. port Services – addresses all the inity at large. Communication – address is to reach the community ing, Professional Development chnology plans with the El on the website. Input and opies are to be sent to the or schedule a meeting with the EMP as a first reading a eir May 11 th meeting.	hent growth and space ys to different groups, 2 K-12 Consortium Meeting. hation on livable wage, first discovery findings were used res what students need from the development of how to make a welcoming emphasized. e ways the college can serve es the different types of constituents. hent, and alignment of MP. cion to their constituents to d feedback are collected via e VPSL office, comment on th VP Ralston if the at their 4/27/2017 meeting.
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
2.19 Administrative Proc	edure 5010 Admission – 1st Readin	g	
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to app Reading, seconded.		
	The blue edits are changes direct Admissions & Records.	tly out of CCLC. The red ed	its are complete by
CONCLUSION	Motion carries		

FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.					
2.20 Administrative Procee	2.20 Administrative Procedure 5200 Student Health Services – 1st Reading				
DISCUSSION	 Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5200 Student Health Services – 1st Reading, seconded. The changes were made by the Student Health Services assistant director, and the area dean. 				
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.					
	dure 5210 Communicable Disease	– 1st Reading			
DISCUSSION	Annebelle Nery motioned to approve Administrative Procedure 5210 Communicable Disease – 1st Reading, seconded. Minor changes to titles. This AP is part of the review cycle.				
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None.					
2.22 Deactivation of Admin	nistrative Procedure 7385 Salary D	Deductions – 1st Reading			
	 7385 Salary Deductions – 1st Reading, seconded. Human Resources is responsible AP 7385. It is recommended that this AP should be deactivated. It restates the board policy. A clarification for the last bullet: How does the board review employee contributions payroll deductions? Instead of limiting the types of organizations employees can contribute, it should be revise to not limit the organizations. CSEA has its own process to stop deductions from payroll. This process should be followed before it goes to the board for approval. 				
CONCLUSION	Motion carries.				
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE		
None. 3. INFORMATION/DISCUSS					
3.1 Accreditation Update:					
DISCUSSION	VP Nery shared that all sections of the accreditation webpage. All se for branding, formatting and desi VP Nery introduced Bert Bitanga, present an update on the accredit background on the accreditation developed to capture all the diffe October 2015 through October 20 about the self-study, as well as, a	ctions have been sent to t gn. faculty co-chair for accred tation process. The preser workgroup and its member erent components at differ 017. The accreditation we	he Public Relations Office ditation workgroup to ntation provided ers. The master timeline was rent points in time from bpage provides information		

	begin preliminary plans for the visit: October 2-5, 2017. By August 1, the self-study		
	report must be sent to ACCJC and the visiting team.		
	Bert and VP Nery thanked the wo	orkgroup for their hard wo	rk.
	President Kinnamon expressed h and Bert for their leadership.	is appreciation to the worl	kgroup. He thanked VP Nery
CONCLUSION	None.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
3.2 Board Policy 5010 Adr	nission and Concurrent Enrollment	t	
DISCUSSION	CPC approved first reading of AP 5010 in the action agenda items. The corresponding BP will go to the next board meeting for approval. The changes reflect changes from CCLC.		
CONCLUSION	None.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
3.3 Board Policy 5200 Stu	dent Health Services		
DISCUSSION	CPC approved first reading of AP BP will go to the next board meet	-	items. The corresponding
CONCLUSION	None.		
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None.			
3.4 Board Policy 5210 Con	nmunicable Disease		
DISCUSSION	CPC approved first reading of AP 5210 in the action agenda items. The corresponding BP will go to the next board meeting for approval.		
	be will go to the next board mee	ting for approval.	
CONCLUSION	None.	ung for approval.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
CONCLUSION FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		DEADLINE
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS	None.		DEADLINE
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None. 4. Adjournment: 11:03am	None.	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	
FOLLOW-UP ITEMS None. 4. Adjournment: 11:03am President Kinnamon sumn	None.	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	oming year: Accreditation,